

Official publication of Pakistan Phytopathological Society

Pakistan Journal of Phytopathology

ISSN: 1019-763X (Print), 2305-0284 (Online) https://pjp.pakps.com



RESEARCH ARTICLE

Arbusclar Mycorriza Induced Antioxidant Defense Mechanism in Tomato Plant Against Fusarium Oxysporum

^aFadia F. Hassan^{*}, ^bMahdi S. Yasir, ^bThamer A.A.Muhsen

^aBiology Department, College of Education for Pure Science / Ibn Al- Haitham, University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq. ^b Department of Nursing/ Al-Hadi University College, Baghdad, Iraq.

Corresponding Author:

Fadia F. Hassan, Email: fadia.f.h@ihcoedu.uobaghdad.edu.iq

Article History:

Submitted: December 29, 2024 Revised: May17, 2024; Accepted for Publication: August 25, 2024.

ABSTRACT

This investigation is aimed to explored the associative impact of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal fungus (AM) like *Glomus etunicatum, G. leptoticum, and Rhizophagus intraradice,* with tomato crops to induce an integrated defense against plant pathogen *Fusarium oxysporum.* The administration of AM fungi in plant can decreased a disease progression in vascular tissue. This impact can be seen on the basis of dry weight of leaf and root of plant. Increased dry weight of leafs indicates decreased *Fusarium* induced wilting due to raised chlorophyll content. This all beneficial impact of AM association is due to production of higher concentration antioxidant enzymes of tomato plants. The increased concentration of antioxidant enzymes is observed glutathione peroxidase was show 100.79U; phenylalanine ammonia lyase showed 3.09 U; Polyphenol oxidases 0.43 U respectively in presence of high organic matter. This increased antioxidant enzymes including glutathione reductase, catalase, polyphenol oxidase also helps to increase defense mechanism of plants by remove or scavenge reactive oxygen species produced during course of fungal infection. This study explores the associative role of Arbascular and mycorrhizal fungi in increased antioxidant enzyme systems in tomato plant. This induction not only helps in plants growth but also resist fungal plant pathogen like fusarium at a great extent. However, to develop this mechanism in crop development further investigations are required.

Keywords: Arbuscular mycorrhiza (AM) fungi, Antioxidant enzymes, Tomato plant, Fusarium oxysporum.

INTRODUCTION

The plant diseases aggressiveness is rising and causing catastrophic failure of crops. The growth of microbial toxins in finished product can endanger the health of consumers (Jackson and Taylor 1996; Khalil *et al.*, 2019; Abdelaziz *et al.*, 2022). Tomato is a perennial crop that belongs to the *Solanaceae* family and is typically planted to produce its palatable fruits (Bashir *et al.*, 2020). The financial and dietary benefits of tomatoes made this crop as one of the most valuable vegetable crop worldwide (Olatunji and Afolayan 2018). Because of its distinct flavor, abundance in nutrients, and outstanding taste this vegetable crop is

utilized by the food industry in big quantity to produce various food products (Usman *et al.*, 2023). However, this industry continues to face a major danger from microbial pathogens transmitted via soil mainly fungal pathogens (Panthee and Chen, 2010; Mousa, *et al.*, 2021).

Fungal pathogens can impact on biochemical processes of cell such as photosynthesis, respiration, plasma membranes operation, and water conduction (Yaqoob *et al.*, 2024). Such microbial infection induced stress results in an excessive buildup of harmful reactive oxygen species (ROS), in plants which causes oxidative damage (Iqbal *et al.*, 2024). The

interaction of excessively generated Superoxide with biological components such lipids, proteins, and nucleic acids impairs a cell's capacity to function normally (El-Rahman *et al.*, 2012; Egamberdieva *et al.*, 2017; Hashem *et al.*, 2017). *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersici* (FOL) is one amongst the stress inducing pathogen which causes the severe reduction in yield of tomatoes through the wilt disease. (Nirmaladevi and Sirnivas 2012; Akhter *et al.*, 2015).

Pesticides, soil fumigation, choosing disease free varieties, and microbiological approaches are possible countermeasures for *Fusarium* sp. induced tomato wilt (Hanson *et al.*, 2016; Michielse and Rep, 2009). Biopesticides, are popular among other controlling strategies due to their ecological viability and eco-friendly nature, Microbiological pesticide can be incorporated in hostile environment and have a competitive nature against diseases causing microorganism (Abd El-Rahman *et al.*, 2012; Tanwar *et al.*, 2013; Wang *et al.*, 2020; Imran *et al.*, 2022).

Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) and plant roots can share symbiotic association to enhance the productivity of in ordinary adverse environmental plants and circumstances. Over the past ten years AMF used for adaptation of crop in responses to cold stress (Zhu et al., 2010). These types of Plant growth-promoting fungi (PGPF) can also be used as efficient natural defense against various pathogenic organisms, such as F. oxysporum which mainly affected tomato crop. Hence, using AMF association in tomato enhances tomato crop production by improving physiological tolerance and enhancing tomato immunity against microbial pathogens (Hyakumachi, 2013). AMF association with plant can induce production of active secondary metabolism like steroids, flavonoids, terpenoids, peptides, quinones, lignans, alkaloids, phenylpropanoids, phenolics, and isocoumarins, exhibits substantial inhibitory activity against various plant pathogens (Elghaffar et al., 2022).

Therefore, this study aims to evaluate the effect of endophytic fungi on *Fusarium oxysporum* infected tomato plants to evaluate their growth promoting activity on healthy as well as diseased tomato plants through the secure and ecofriendly method.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

A) Materials: i) Plant preparation: Seeds of Tomatoes (*Lycopersicon esculentum*) were purchased from the Department of the Agriculture's plant protection office. Prior

to plantation, the seeds were surface sterilized with sodium hypochlorite (3.5%) for two to three minutes.

ii) Soil: The soil consisted loam, acquired from the Tigris bank in the Zafaraniyah neighbourhood of Baghdad. Collected soil was washed to remove its major nutrients after that it is dried and autoclaved to remove indigenous microflora. The process of autoclaving was done exclusively for 2 days (Davies and Linderman, 1997; Louis and Lime, 1988). This treated soil then subsequently supplemented with sterilized commercial peat moss at a concentration of 1.5% and Rock phosphate in appropriate concentration.

iii) AM fungi: Species of Glomus etunicatum, G. leptoticum, and Rhizophagus intraradices fungi were used as a consortium. For inoculation all fungal species and their associated root fragments were obtained from Department of horticulture office, Ministry of Agriculture which is propagated (Owusu-Bennoah and Mosse, 1979) using Tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum) as ex plant, before infecting to plantlets were surface sterilized as stated above. B) Experimental Set up: Fungi were grown in containers that contained the test soil (with treated tomato seeds) which is treated with a growth components and sterile distilled water is used for watering. After gap of 4 weeks, grown tomato plants were collected by cutting off the branch system, removing the soil and then root were shredded and these shards containing mycorrhizal spores were used as inoculum for later trials.

C) *Fusarium oxysporum* **f. sp.** *lycopersici pathogen*: A pathogenic fungus was grown on Potato Dextrose Agar medium for 5 days of incubation and after sporulation the spores were subsequently sub cultured and maintained at 25 °C under dehydrated condition. With sterilized distilled water, the suspension of spores was made. Erlenmeyer flasks containing 50 gm of sterilized seeds were filled with fungal spore's suspension. The resulting mixture was then kept at 25°C for a period of six days and then used as inoculum for tomato root infections.

D) Cultivation design: A consortium of three AM fungi is transferred as a layer of a dirt-based the inoculum 50g/pot, before being topped over an equal amount of test soil, 4.900kg/pot. Following two weeks of growth, a second dose of 25ml was introduced through irrigation of a fertilizer mixture into the pots (Yildiz, 2010). On individual fungal pad, 25gm of infecting wheat seeds containing *E oxysporum* were put into the pots (Dewan, 1989). A control set of clean tomato seedlings were planted and grown using sterile water. These all experimental set ups were allowed to grow

in natural climatic conditions. After four weeks' interval the plantlets were harvested to separate their root and shoot systems and used for the other subsequent characteristics as follows.

a) Measurement of total root length (cm): Measurement of total root length (cm) was done as described by Ryosuke and Yoichiro, (2013). The plunked roots of AM infected plantlets and untreated plantlets were obtained after growth of 4 weeks.

b) Measurement of total dry weight (gm): Measurement of total dry weight (gm) of plantlets was done as per Shipley and Vu (2002) with some modification. The plantlets selected for the length measurement purpose were taken then weighed after their collection and this can be treated as initial weight with moisture. Plantlets then dried in oven at 60°C and again weighed (final weight) and the difference was calculated as initial and final weight.

Glutathione peroxidase activity: Glutathione c) peroxidase activity was performed as described by (Rotruck et al., 1973) with some modification in 0.4 mL of buffer, 0.1 mL sodium azide, 0.2 mL of reduced glutathione, 0.5 mL of enzyme extract and 0.1 mL of H₂O, was taken. The final volume adjusted to 2.0 mL with distilled water and allowed to incubate the tube at 37°C for 30 min. Then 0.5 mL of 10% trichloroacetic acid was added to stop the reaction. To determine the unused/residual glutathione content, the reaction mixture was centrifuge, and in supernatant 3.0 mL of disodium hydrogen phosphate and 1.0 mL of DTNB reagent was added. The optical density of reaction mixture was taken at 412 nm. Tubes of blank were prepared by using disodium hydrogen phosphate and 1.0 mL of DTNB reagent. The activity is expressed as ug of glutathione consumed/minute/mg protein.

d) Phenylalanine ammonia lyase (OAL) activity: Phenylalanine ammonia lyase (OAL) activity was measured as reported by (Brueske, 1980) with some modification. 0.5 mL borate buffer, 0.2 mL enzyme solution and 1.3 mL distilled water was taken in a test tube. The reaction was initiated by the addition of 1M 1 mL L-Phenylalanine solution. This reaction mixture is then incubation for 30-60 min at 32°C. The reaction was stopped by the addition of 0.5 mL of 1 M trichloroacetic acid. Control sample is monitored in same way in which phenylalanine was added after trichloroacetic acid. The optical density was measured by using spectrophotometer at 290 nm. The slope obtained on standard graph prepared by using trans-cinnamic acid.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The obtained results were the mean of three determinants. Analysis of the variants were carried out on all data at p < 0.05 using GraphPad software (Graph Pad Instat version 3.00, Graph Pad software, San Diego, CA, USA)

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Effect of AM fungi and organic matter on dry weight (gm) of tomato plants: The infection free conditions are essential to increase the crop of tomatoes and this can be achieved by various cultivation methods. This method includes use of chemical pesticides, irrigation techniques, use of resistant variety of tomatoes and biopesticides. Whereas not a single crop method was design to be implemented fully.

Plant root protection is a key indicator of defense mechanism of plant which can induce by different enzyme systems. For example, induction of chitinases and b-1,3-glucanase also linked with resistance against *Alternaria solani* in tomato. (Evelin *et al.*, 2009; Beltrano *et al.*, 2013) The AMF association induced plant growth is seen by increased nutritive element like phosphorous and other enzyme system such as antioxidant enzyme (Hashem *et al.*, 2015; Huang *et al.*, 2014).

The effect of all factors on the percentage of dry wt. of tomato plant (Table 1) after 4 weeks of cultivation showed the high dry wt. concentration of organic matter percentage 8.31 % in the treatment ($M^+ \times O^+ \times 2W^+$) compared with ($M^- \times O^- \times W^+$) 0W⁺) which recorded a 4.03% of organic dry wt. considering the single treatments which also showed low percentages represented by the treatments (M^+) then $(4W^+)$ and (O^+) reached 5.05, 7.77, and 10.10 % respectively compared to the high percentages of the treatments (M⁻) than (0W⁺) and (0-) which recorded 2.61, 1.86 and 3.83 respectively. Additionally, the dual treatments $(M^+ \times 4W^+)$, $(M^+ \times 0^+)$ and (O⁺ x 2W⁺) showed also low percentage 7.08, 13.92 and 5.81 % compared with the high percentage in the treatments (Mx $0W^+$), (M⁻ x 0^-) and (O⁻ x $0W^+$) which showed the percentage 1.74, 1.13 and 1.23 % respectively. Despite having enough water in the soil, F. oxysporum infecting tomato seeds rapidly and expands via the root vascular system, interfering with water and nutrient intake and causing plant wilting (Jayamohan et al., 2020). Our obtained results were showing similar type of findings where in AM infected increased root length was observed that ultimately increase the potential of vascular system of plant even in less amount of water.

| Mycorrhizal Fungi | Organic matter | Pathoge | enic fung | gi F.o.l | Mycorrhizal interaction X Organic matter 0 x M | |
|----------------------------|----------------|---------|-----------|----------|---|--------------------|
| | | С | 0W+ | 2W+ | 4W+ | |
| N4- | 0- | 3.83 | 0.83 | 2.70 | 3.07 | 2.61 ± 0.06 |
| M- | 0+ | 6.27 | 1.13 | 3.95 | 4.94 | $4.07 \pm 0.04^*$ |
| M+ | 0- | 11.70 | 1.62 | 6.50 | 8.31 | 7.03 ± 0.05 |
| M+ | 0+ | 13.92 | 1.86 | 7.66 | 9.78 | 8.31 ± 0.01** |
| SD (0.05) | 1.742 ± 0.027* | | | | | 2.753 ± 0.02* |
| Mycorrhizal interaction × | | | | | | Mycorrhizal effect |
| Pathogenic fungi | M- | 5.05 | 0.98 | 3.33 | 4.01 | 3.34 ± 0.034* |
| F.o.l.xM | M+ | 12.81 | 1.74 | 7.08 | 9.05 | 7.67 ± 0.04* |
| LSD (0.05) | | | | | | 0.543 ± 0.02** |
| | | | | | | Organic effect |
| Organic interaction × | 0- | 7.77 | 1.23 | 4.60 | 5.69 | 4.82 ± 0.06 |
| pathogenic fungi F.o.l.x O | 0+ | 10.10 | 1.50 | 5.81 | 7.36 | 6.19 ± 0.03* |
| (0.05) LSD | 2.995 ± 0.03* | | | | | 0.543± 0.03* |
| Pathogenic effect F.o.l | | 8.93 | 1.36 | 5.20 | 6.53 | |
| LSD (0.05) | 0.995 ± 0.05* | | | | | |

Table 1. Effect of the mycorrhiza fungi, organic matter and F.o.l. on the total dry weight (gm) of tomato plants four weeks after planting.

Values represent the means of three replicates, Means are significantly different at P < 0.05.

 M^* = Presence of mycorrhiza, M^* = Absence of mycorrhiza, O^* = Presence of organic matter added, O^* = Absence of organic matter, $0W^*$ = inoculation of pathogen at the same time planting, $2W^*$ = inoculation of pathogen after two weeks planting, $4W^*$ = inoculation of pathogen after four weeks planting

Effect of the mycorrhiza fungi, organic matter and F.o.l. on the total root length (cm): It was observed that after exposure of plant with AM fungi plant increased their root length. It was seen that the maximum root length increased after 4 weeks of exposure. In presence of pathogenic fungi, the maximum length was observed 15.95cm that is for (M⁺ $x O^+ x 4W^+$). Whereas for the (M⁻ $x C^- x 4W^+$) 10.28 cm. the length of tomato plant root which are grown in presence of AM fungi that is in M⁺ and M⁻ was observe to be 14.81 and 9.41 cm respectively. The mycorrhizal effect was recorded as 0.902 with 2.462 LSD 0.05. In presence of organic matter $(0^+ \text{ and } 0^-)$ was observe to have13.81 and 10.71 cm respectively. The overall pathogenic effect was measured up to 1.203. Impacts of mycorrhizal bio protection were closely linked to host-AM fungus interaction. A commonly utilized critical indicator to evaluate symbiotic interactions is the mycorrhizal colonization rate (Cordier et al., 1998; Slezack et al., 2000). The symbiotic association of mycorrhizae will absolutely see in this study that will indicated by the increased the root length of seedlings and plantlets.

Effect of the mycorrhiza fungi, organic matter and

F.o.l. on the total dry weight of root: As described in previous section the improved root length of test plant was increased and it is also replicated in terms of dry weight of root system. It was observed that after exposure of plant with AM fungi plants roots dry weight increased. It was noted that the maximum root dry weight was seen after 4 weeks of exposure. In presence of pathogenic fungi the maximum root dry weight was observed 4.24 gm that is for $(M^+ \times O^+ \times O^+)$ $4W^+$). Whereas for the (M⁻ x C⁻ x $4W^+$) 1.40 gm the dry weight tomato plant root which are grown in presence of AM fungi that is in M⁺ and M⁻ was observe to be 3.30 and 1. 40 gm, respectively. The mycorrhizal effect was recorded as 0.279 with 0.892 LSD 0.05. In terms of organic matter (0⁺ and 0⁻) was as 2.51 and 1.83 gm respectively. The cumulative mycorrhizal effect for overall pathogenic effect was measured up to 2.003. The mycorrhiza fungi show inhibitory impact on F.o.l. and has a positive impact on tomato plant which is showed by the increased dry mass of roots of experimental plant. Somewhat different results were obtained in terms of transgenic tomato plant where the adapted verity was used in this study (Wang et al., 2020).

| Mycorrhizal Fungi | Organic matter | Pathoge | enic fung | i F.o.l | Mycorrhizal interaction X Organic matter O x M | |
|----------------------------|------------------|---------|-----------|---------|---|--------------------|
| | | С | 0W+ | 2W+ | 4W+ | |
| M- | 0- | 12.25 | 2.90 | 7.02 | 8.81 | 7.75 ± 0.04 |
| IvI | 0+ | 17.11 | 3.88 | 8.98 | 11.16 | 10.28 ± 0.07 |
| M+ | 0- | 23.01 | 5.73 | 11.58 | 14.35 | 13.67 ± 0.05 |
| IAT | 0+ | 27.34 | 6.51 | 13.32 | 16.64 | 15.95 ± 0.04 |
| SD (0.05) | 2.543 ± 0.04 | | | | | 5.007 ± 0.02** |
| Mycorrhizal interaction × | | | | | | Mycorrhizal effect |
| Pathogenic fungi | M- | 14.68 | 3.39 | 8.00 | 9.99 | 9.01 ± 0.03 |
| F.o.l.xM | M+ | 25.18 | 6.12 | 12.45 | 15.50 | 14.81 ± 0.02* |
| LSD (0.05) | | | | | | 0.902 ± 0.001** |
| Organic interaction | | | | | | Organic effect |
| × | 0- | 17.63 | 4.32 | 9.30 | 11.58 | 10.71 ± 0.04 |
| pathogenic fungi F.o.l.x O | 0+ | 22.23 | 5.20 | 11.15 | 13.90 | 13.12 ± 0.03 |
| (0.05) LSD | 3.996 ± 0.03 | | | | | 0.902 ± 0.02* |
| Pathogenic effect F.o.l | | 19.93 | 4.76 | 10.23 | 12.74 | |
| LSD (0.05) | 1.203± 0.006 | | | | | |

Table 2. Effect of the mycorrhiza fungi, organic matter and F.o.l. on the total root length (cm) of tomato plants four weeks after planting

Values representing the means of three replicates, Means are significantly different at P< 0.05 M^+ = Presence of mycorrhiza, M^- = Absence of mycorrhiza, O^+ = Presence of organic matter added, O^- = Absence of organic matter, $0W^+$ = inoculation of pathogen at the same time planting, $2W^+$ = inoculation of pathogen after two weeks planting, $4W^+$ = inoculation of pathogen after four weeks planting

| Mycorrhizal Fungi | Organic matter | Pathog | genic fun | gi F.o.l | | Mycorrhizal interaction X Organic matter O x M |
|---|------------------|--------|-----------|----------|------|---|
| | | С | 0W+ | 2W+ | 4W+ | |
| M- | 0- | 1.03 | 0.14 | 0.70 | 0.82 | 0.67 ± 0.05 |
| M | 0+ | 2.25 | 0.28 | 1.35 | 1.72 | $1.40 \pm 0.02^*$ |
| M+ | 0- | 5.10 | 0.60 | 2.70 | 3.51 | 2.98 ± 0.03 |
| IN . | 0+ | 6.20 | 0.72 | 3.30 | 4.24 | 3.62 ± 0.004 |
| SD (0.05) | 0.871 ± 0.03 | | | | | 1.204 ± 0.03 |
| Mycorrhizal interaction × | | | | | | Mycorrhizal effect |
| Pathogenic fungi | M- | 1.64 | 0.21 | 1.03 | 1.27 | 1.04 ± 0.04 |
| F.o.l.xM | M+ | 5.65 | 0.66 | 3.00 | 3.88 | 3.30 ± 0.01** |
| LSD (0.05) | 0.892 ± 0.05 | | | | | 0.279 ± 0.03 |
| Organic interaction v | 0.092 ± 0.05 | | | | | Organic effect |
| Organic interaction × pathogenic fungi F.o.l.x O | 0- | 3.07 | 0.37 | 1.70 | 2.17 | 1.83 ± 0.03 |
| pathogenic lungi r.o.i.x O | 0+ | 4.23 | 0.50 | 2.33 | 2.98 | 2.51 ± 0.02 |
| (0.05) LSD | 2.003 ± 0.002** | | | | | |
| Pathogenic effect F.o.l | 3.65 | 3.65 | 3.65 | 3.65 | 3.65 | 0.279 ± 0.03 |
| LSD (0.05) | 0.447 ± 0.02 | | | | | |

Table 3. Effect of the mycorrhiza fungi and F.o.l. on the total root length (cm) of tomato plants four weeks after planting

Values representing the means of three replicates, Means are significantly different at P< 0.05 M^* = Presence of mycorrhiza, M^- = Absence of mycorrhiza, O^* = Presence of organic matter added, O^- = Absence of organic matter, $0W^*$ = inoculation of pathogen at the same time planting, $2W^*$ = inoculation of pathogen after two weeks planting, $4W^*$ = inoculation of pathogen after four weeks planting

Effect of the mycorrhiza fungi, organic matter and F.o.l. on the Glutathione peroxidase enzyme: Effect of the mycorrhiza fungi plus organic matter on F.o.l. and glutathione peroxidase enzyme was checked. It was observed that after exposure of plant with AM fungi plants GPOX enzyme activity was elevated. The GPOX enzyme activity was seen after 4 weeks of exposure. In presence of pathogenic fungi, the maximum enzyme activity was observed 100.79 U that is for ($M^+ \times 0^+ \times 4W^+$) and for the ($M^- \times C^- \times 4W^+$) 21.54 U. The GPOX enzyme activity in AM and organic matter supplemented tomato and un supplemented was observe to be 106.72 and 53.80 U respectively. The cumulative Mycorrhizal effect was recorded as 0.746 with 8.442 LSD 0.05. In case of organic matter (0^+ and 0^-) was observed to be 76.16 and 84.36 U respectively. Whenever plants are affected by infectious agents, AM fungi are essential for the activation of plant defense (Bernaola *et al.*, 2018; Abo-Elyousr *et al.*, 2014). The AM fungi induce certain responses that activate the plant defense system in response to biotic stressors throughout the development of the mycorrhizal symbiosis (Pieterse *et al.*, 204). This enzyme is expressed in adverse condition and protects the enzymes.

Table 4. Effect of the mycorrhiza fungi, and F.o.l. on the Glutathione peroxidase enzyme of tomato plants four weeks after planting

| Mycorrhizal Fungi | Organic matter | Pathoger | nic fungi l | Mycorrhizal interaction X Organic matter O x M | | |
|------------------------------|-----------------|----------|-------------|---|--------|--------------------|
| | | С | 0W+ | 2W+ | 4W+ | |
| Ν. | 0- | 45.72 | 17.80 | 70.46 | 90.29 | 56.07 ± 0.06 |
| M- | 0+ | 43.11 | 12.27 | 62.35 | 88.42 | 51.54 ± 0.03 |
| M+ | 0- | 108.35 | 55.33 | 130.33 | 156.63 | 112.66 ± 0.04 |
| IvI , | 0+ | 96.43 | 48.16 | 115.15 | 143.41 | 100.79 ± 0.05 |
| SD (0.05) | 1.955 ± 0.03 | | | | | 26.679 ± 0.05 |
| Mycorrhizal interaction | | | | | | Mycorrhizal effect |
| × | M- | 44.42 | 15.04 | 66.41 | 89.36 | 53.80 ± 0.04 |
| Pathogenic fungi F.o.l.xM | M+ | 102.39 | 51.75 | 122.74 | 150.02 | 106.72 ± 0.03 |
| LSD (0.05) | 0 4 4 2 + 0 0 2 | | | | | 0.746 ± 0.02** |
| Organic interaction | - 8.442 ± 0.03 | | | | | Organic effect |
| × | 0- | 77.04 | 36.57 | 100.40 | 123.46 | 84.36 ± 0.05 |
| pathogenic fungi F.o.l.x O | 0+ | 69.77 | 30.22 | 88.75 | 115.92 | 76.16 ± 0.02** |
| (0.05) LSD | 35.836 ± 0.03 | | | | | 35.836 ± 0.04 |
| Pathogenic effect F.o.l | | 73.40 | 33.39 | 94.57 | 119.69 | 84.36 ± 0.05 |
| LSD (0.05) | 1.084 ± 0.002* | | | | | |

Values representing the means of three replicates, Means are significantly different at P< 0.05

 M^+ = Presence of mycorrhiza, M^- = Absence of mycorrhiza, O^+ = Presence of organic matter added, O^- = Absence of organic matter, $0W^+$ = inoculation of pathogen at the same time planting, $2W^+$ = inoculation of pathogen after two weeks planting, $4W^+$ = inoculation of pathogen after four weeks planting.

Effect of the mycorrhiza fungi, organic matter and F.o.l. on the phenylalanine ammonia lyase (OAL) enzyme: Effect of the mycorrhiza fungi, organic matter and F.o.l. on the phenylalanine ammonia lyase (OAL) enzyme was checked by enzyme assay. It was observed that after exposure of plant with AM fungi plants OAL enzyme activity remain constant. The maximum OAL enzyme activity shows constant results after 4 weeks of exposure. In presence of pathogenic fungi, the maximum OAL activity observed 3.09 U that is for (M⁺ x O⁺ x 4W⁺). Whereas for the (M⁻ x C⁻ x 4W⁺) 1.03 U. The OAL enzyme activity in tomato which are grown in presence of AM fungi that is in M⁺ and M⁻ was observe to be 3.36 and 1.13 U respectively. The cumulative mycorrhizal effect was recorded as 0.452 with 0.120 LSD 0.05. In presence of Organic matter (O⁺ and O⁻) was observe to be 2.06 and 2.44 U respectively.

| Mycorrhizal Fungi | Organic matter | Pathog | genic fun | gi F.o.l | | Mycorrhizal interaction X Organic matter O x M |
|------------------------------|----------------|--------|-----------|----------|------|---|
| | | С | 0W+ | 2W+ | 4W+ | |
| M- | 0- | 0.56 | 0.30 | 1.72 | 2.38 | 1.24 ± 0.06 |
| M | 0+ | 0.42 | 0.17 | 1.25 | 2.27 | $1.03 \pm 0.02^*$ |
| M+ | 0- | 3.31 | 1.09 | 4.45 | 5.68 | 3.63 ± 0.04 |
| IMI - | 0+ | 3.20 | 1.03 | 3.51 | 4.60 | 3.09 ± 0.05 |
| SD (0.05) | 0.329 ± 0.032* | | | | | 1.196 ± 0.02** |
| Mycorrhizal interaction | | | | | | Mycorrhizal effect |
| × | M- | 0.49 | 0.24 | 1.49 | 2.33 | 1.13 ± 0.04 |
| Pathogenic fungi F.o.l.xM | M+ | 3.26 | 1.06 | 3.98 | 5.14 | 3.36 ± 0.05 |
| LSD (0.05) | | | | | | 0.120 ± 0.03 |
| Organic interaction | 0.452 ± 0.02* | | | | | Organic effect |
| × | 0- | 1.94 | 0.70 | 3.09 | 4.03 | 2.44 ± 0.04 |
| pathogenic fungi F.o.l.x O | 0+ | 1.81 | 0.60 | 2.38 | 3.44 | 2.06 ± 0.05 |
| (0.05) LSD | 1.566 ± 0.04 | | | | | 0.120 ± 0.02** |
| Pathogenic effect F.o.l | | 1.87 | 0.65 | 2.73 | 3.73 | |
| LSD (0.05) | 0.169 ± 0.02 | | | | | |

Table 5. Effect of the mycorrhiza fungi, and F.o.l. on the phenylalanine ammonia lyase enzyme of tomato plants four weeks after planting

Values representing the means of three replicates, Means are significantly different at P<0.05 M^+ = Presence of mycorrhiza, M^- = Absence of mycorrhiza, O^+ = Presence of organic matter added, O^- = Absence of organic matter, $0W^+$ = inoculation of pathogen at the same time planting, $2W^+$ = inoculation of pathogen after two weeks planting, $4W^+$ = inoculation of pathogen after four weeks planting

Table 6. Effect of the mycorrhiza fungi, and F.o.l. on the Polyphenol oxidases enzyme enzyme of tomato plants four weeks after planting

| alter planting | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|--------------------|-------|-----------|----------|------|---|--|--|
| Mycorrhizal Fungi | Organic matter | Patho | genic fun | gi F.o.l | | Mycorrhizal interaction X Organic matter O x M | | |
| | | С | 0W+ | 2W+ | 4W+ | | | |
| M | 0- | 0.11 | 0.05 | 0.21 | 0.31 | 0.17 ± 0.03 | | |
| M- | 0+ | 0.09 | 0.03 | 0.17 | 0.24 | 0.13 ± 0.005** | | |
| M+ | 0- | 0.44 | 0.15 | 0.57 | 0.72 | 0.47 ± 0.04 | | |
| M | 0+ | 0.35 | 0.12 | 0.47 | 0.61 | 0.39 ± 0.02* | | |
| SD (0.05) | 0.034 ± 0.005** | | | | | 0.138 ± 0.025** | | |
| Mycorrhizal interaction | | | | | | Mycorrhizal effect | | |
| × | M- | 0.10 | 0.04 | 0.19 | 0.28 | 0.15 ± 0.04 | | |
| Pathogenic fungi F.o.l.xM | M+ | 0.40 | 0.14 | 0.52 | 0.67 | 0.43 ± 0.02 | | |
| LSD (0.05) | 0.047 + 0.006* | | | | | 0.012 ± 0.007* | | |
| Organic interaction | 0.047 ± 0.006* | | | | | Organic effect | | |
| × | 0- | 0.28 | 0.10 | 0.39 | 0.52 | 0.32 ± 0.03 | | |
| pathogenic fungi F.o.l.x O | 0+ | 0.22 | 0.08 | 0.32 | 0.43 | 0.26 ± 0.004** | | |
| (0.05) LSD | 0.203 ± 0.05* | | | | | 0.012 ± 0.005* | | |
| Pathogenic effect F.o.l | | 0.25 | 0.09 | 0.36 | 0.47 | | | |
| LSD (0.05) | $0.017 \pm 0.01^*$ | | | | | | | |
| | | _ | | 3 3.00 | _ | | | |

Values representing the means of three replicates, Means are significantly different at P< 0.05

 M^+ = Presence of mycorrhiza, M^- = Absence of mycorrhiza, O^+ = Presence of organic matter added, O^- = Absence of organic matter, $0W^+$ = inoculation of pathogen at the same time planting, $2W^+$ = inoculation of pathogen after two weeks planting, $4W^+$ = inoculation of pathogen after four weeks planting

Effect of the mycorrhiza fungi, and F.o.l. on the Polyphenol oxidases enzyme µmol ketone/min of tomato plants four weeks after planting: Effect of the mycorrhiza fungi, organic matter and F.o.l. on the Polyphenol oxidases enzyme µmol was checked by enzyme assay. It was observed that after exposure of plant with AM fungi plants PPO enzyme activity was somewhat remain constant at different experimental set up. It was observed that the maximum PPO enzyme seen somewhat constant after 4 weeks of exposure. In presence of pathogenic fungi, the maximum PPO activity observed 0.39 µmol ketone/min that is for (M⁺ x O⁺ x 4W⁺). Whereas for the (M⁻ x C⁻ x 4W⁺) 0.13 μ mol ketone/min. the PPO enzyme activity in tomato which are grown in presence of AM fungi that is in M⁺ and M⁻ was observe to be 0.43 and 0.15 U respectively. The Mycorrhizal effect was recorded as 0.012 with 0.047 LSD 0.05. In effect of organic matter (O⁺ and O⁻) was observe to be 0.26 and 0.32 μ mol ketone/min respectively. While PAL is engaged in phenylpropanoid metabolism, which is directly related to the production and accumulation of phenols, lignin, and antitoxin, PPO is the main enzyme involved in the process of oxidation of polyphenols to quinons, which are antimicrobial chemicals (Constabel et al., 1995).

REFERENCES

- Abd El-Rahman, S. S., M. M. Mazen, H. I. Mohamed and N. M. Mahmoud. 2012. Induction of defence related enzymes and phenolic compounds in lupin (*Lupinus albus L.*) and their effects on host resistance against Fusarium wilt. European Journal of Plant Pathology, 134, 105-116.
- Abdelaziz, A. M., M. S. Attia, M. S. Salem, D. A. Refaay, W.A. Alhoqail and H. H. Senousy. 2022. *Cyanobacteria*mediated immune responses in pepper plants against fusarium wilt. Plants, 11(15), 2049.
- Abdelrahman, M., F. Abdel-Motaal, M. El-Sayed, S. Jogaiah, M. Shigyo, S. I. Ito and L. S. P. Tran. 2016. Dissection of *Trichoderma longibrachiatum*-induced defense in onion (*Allium cepa* L.) against *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *cepa* by target metabolite profiling. Plant Science, 246, 128-138.
- Abo-Elyousr, K. A., M. A. Seleim, K. M. Abd-El-Moneem, and F. A. Saead. 2014. Integrated effect of *Glomus mosseae* and selected plant oils on the control of bacterial wilt disease of tomato. Crop Protection, 66, 67-71.
- Akhter, A., K. Hage-Ahmed, G. Soja and S. Steinkellner. 2015. Compost and biochar alter mycorrhization,

CONCLUSION

After obtaining above results it was concluded that the entophytic AM fungal association shows positive impact when implemented in agriculture field with the organic matter. It was determined that this fungus have positive effect on antioxidant enzyme system of tomatoes. The elevated concentration of this enzyme activity after AM association helps plants in their patho-protecting activity. The phosphatase and antioxidant enzymes improvise photosynthesis and ultimately helped to boost defense against FOL wilting disease in tomato. The plant shows good impact on their growth which will determined in terms of leaves, height and other parameters of the tomato plant. This study proposed that the AM fungi can work as a potential biological disease controlling agent in controlling of Fusarium wilt disease as well as in stimulated phytohormones production and organics matter degradation. However, the more efforts should be required to exploit the AM fungi as a commercial biofrtilizer.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I present my high appreciation and thanks to biology department for their support and cooperation for achieve my research. in addition, I would like to thanks Dr. Sahar Saady Ghareeb for her assistance in statistical analysis.

tomato root exudation, and development of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersici*. Frontiers in Plant Science, 6, 529.

- Bashir, A., M.T. Khan, R. Ahmed, B. Mehmood, M.T. Younas, H.M. Rehman and S. Hussain. 2020. Efficiency of selected botanicals against (*Alternaria solani*) causing early blight disease on tomato in Azad Jammu and Kashmir. Pakistan Journal of Phytopathology, 32(2): 179-186.
- Beltrano, J., M. Ruscitti, M. C. Arango and M. Ronco. 2013. Effects of arbuscular mycorrhiza inoculation on plant growth, biological and physiological parameters and mineral nutrition in pepper grown under different salinity and P levels. Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition, 13 (1): 123–141.
- Bernaola, L., M. Cosme, R. W. Schneider and M. Stout. 2018. Belowground inoculation with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi increases local and systemic susceptibility of rice plants to different pest organisms. Frontiers in Plant Science, 9, 747.
- Brueske, C. H., 1980. Phenylalanine ammonia lyase activity in tomato roots infected and resistant to the root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne incognita*.

Physiological Plant Pathology, 16(3): 409-414.

- Constabel, C. P., D. R. Bergey and C. A. Ryan. 1995. Systemin activates synthesis of wound-inducible tomato leaf polyphenol oxidase via the octadecanoid defense signaling pathway. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 92(2): 407-411.
- Cordier, C., M. J. Pozo, J. M. Barea, S. Gianinazzi and V. Gianinazzi-Pearson. 1998. Cell defense responses associated with localized and systemic resistance to *Phytophthora parasitica* induced in tomato by an arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus. Molecular plantmicrobe interactions, 11(10): 1017-1028.
- Davies Jr, F. T. and R. G. Linderman, R. G. 1991. Short term effects of phosphorus and VA-mycorrhizal fungi on nutrition, growth and development of Capsicum annuum L. Scientia Horticulturae, 45(3-4): 333-338.
- Dewan, M. M., 1988. Identity and frequency of occurrence of fungi in roots of wheat and rye grass and their effect on take-all and host growth (Doctoral dissertation, University of Western Australia).
- Egamberdieva, D., S. J. Wirth, V. V. Shurigin, A. Hashem, E. F. Abd_Allah. 2017. Endophytic bacteria improve plant growth, symbiotic performance of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) and induce suppression of root rot caused by *Fusarium solani* under salt stress. Frontiers in Microbiology, 8, 1887.
- Evelin, H., R. Kapoor and B. Giri. 2009. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in alleviation of salt stress: a review. Annals of botany, 104(7): 1263-1280.
- Hanson, P., S. F. Lu, J. F. Wang, W. Chen, L. Kenyon, C. W. Tan and R. Y. Yang. 2016. Conventional and molecular marker-assisted selection and pyramiding of genes for multiple disease resistance in tomato. Scientia Horticulturae, 201, 346-354.
- Hashem, A., Abd_Allah, E. F., Alqarawi, A. A., Radhakrishnan, R., & Kumar, A. 2017. Plant defense approach of *Bacillus subtilis* (BERA 71) against *Macrophomina phaseolina* (Tassi) Goid in mung bean. Journal of Plant Interactions, 12(1), 390-401.
- Hashem, A., E. F. Abd_Allah, P. Ahmad. 2015. Effect of AM fungi on growth, physiobiochemical attributes, lipid peroxidation, antioxidant enzymes and plant growth regulators in *Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill. subjected to different concentration of NaCl. Pak. J. Bot. 47, 327–340.
- Huang, Y.M., A. K. Srivastava, Y. N. Zou, Q. D. Ni, Y. Han and Q. S. Wu. 2014. Mycorrhizal-induced calmodulin mediated changes in antioxidant enzymes and growth response of drought-stressed trifoliate orange. Frontiers in Microbiology, 5: 682.
- Hyakumachi, M., 2013. Research on biological control of plant diseases: present state and perspectives. Journal of General Plant Pathology, 79: 435-440.

- Imran, M., K. A. Abo-Elyousr, M. A. Mousa and M. M. Saad. 2022. A study on the synergetic effect of *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* and *dipotassium phosphate* on *Alternaria solani* causing early blight disease of tomato. European Journal of Plant Pathology, 162(1): 63-77.
- Iqbal, O., R.N. Syed, N.A. Rajput, Y. Wang, A.M. Lodhi, R. Khan, S.M. Jibril, M. Atiq and C. Li. 2024. Antagonistic activity of two Bacillus strains against *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *capsici* (FOC-1) causing Fusarium. wilt and growth promotion activity of chili plant. Frontiers in Microbiology, 15: 1388439.
- Jackson, A. O. and C. B. Taylor. 1996. Plant-microbe interactions: life and death at the interface. The Plant Cell, 8(10): 1651.
- Jayamohan, N. S., S. V. Patil and B. S. Kumudini. 2020. Seed priming with Pseudomonas putida isolated from rhizosphere triggers innate resistance against Fusarium wilt in tomato through pathogenesisrelated protein activation and phenylpropanoid pathway. Pedosphere, 30(5): 651-660.
- Khalil, A. M. A., A. H. Hashem and A.M. Abdelaziz. 2019. Occurrence of toxigenic *Penicillium polonicum* in retail green table olives from the Saudi Arabia market. Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology, 21: 101314.
- Louis, I., and G. Lim. 1988. Differential response in growth and mycorrhizal colonisation of soybean to inoculation with two isolates of *Glomus clarum* in soils of different P availability. Plant and Soil, 112: 37-43.
- Manjunath, A. and D. J. Bagyaraj. 1981. Components of VA mycorrhizal inoculum and their effects on growth of onion. New phytologist, 87(2): 355-361.
- Michielse, C. B. and M. Rep. 2009. Pathogen profile update: *Fusarium oxysporum*. Molecular plant pathology, 10(3), 311.
- Mousa, M. A., K. A. Abo-Elyousr, A. M. Abdel Alal and N. O. Alshareef. 2021. Management *fusarium* wilt disease in tomato by combinations of *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* and peppermint oil. Agronomy, 11(12): 2536.
- Nirmaladevi, D. and C. Srinivas, C. 2012. Cultural, morphological, and pathogenicity variation in *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersici* causing wilt of tomato. Batman Üniversitesi Yaşam Bilimleri Dergisi, 2(1): 1-16.
- Olatunji, T. L. and A. J. Afolayan. 2018. The suitability of chili pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) for alleviating human micronutrient dietary deficiencies: A review. Food science & nutrition, 6(8): 2239-2251.
- Panthee, D. R. and F. Chen. 2010. Genomics of fungal disease resistance in tomato. Current genomics, 11(1): 30-39.
- Pieterse, C. M., C. Zamioudis, R. L. Berendsen, D. M. Weller,

S. C. Van Wees and P. A. Bakker. 2014. Induced systemic resistance by beneficial microbes. Annual review of phytopathology, 52: 347-375.

- Rotruck, J. T., A. L. Pope, H. E. Ganther, A. B. Swanson, D. G. Hafeman, W. Hoekstra. 1973. Selenium: biochemical role as a component of glutathione peroxidase. Science, 179(4073): 588-590.
- Ryosuke, T. and K. Yoichiro. 2013. A quick method to estimate root length in each diameter class using freeware ImageJ. Plant Production Science, 16(1): 9-11.
- Shipley, B and T. T. Vu. 2002. Dry matter content as a measure of dry matter concentration in plants and their parts. New Phytologist, 153(2): 359-364.
- Slezack, S., E. Dumas-Gaudot, M. Paynot and S. Gianinazzi. 2000. Is a fully established *arbuscular mycorrhizal* symbiosis required for bioprotection of *Pisum sativum* roots against *Aphanomyces euteiches*. Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions, 13(2): 238-241.
- Tanwar, A., A. Aggarwal and V. Panwar. 2013. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and *Trichoderma viride* mediated Fusarium wilt control in tomato. Biocontrol Science and Technology, 23(5): 485-498.
- Usman, M., M. Atiq, N.A. Rajput, S.T. Sahi, M. Shad, N. Lili, S. Iqbal, A.M. Arif, U. Ahmad, K.S. Khan, M. Asif, F.U. Haider. 2023. Efficacy of Green Synthesized Silver Based Nanomaterials against Early Blight of

Tomato Caused by *Alternaria solani*. Gesunde Pflanzen. 1-11.

- Wang, H., Z. Hao, X. Zhang, W. Xie and B. Chen. 2022. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi induced plant resistance against fusarium wilt in jasmonate biosynthesis defective mutant and wild type of tomato. Journal of Fungi, 8(5): 422.
- Wang, X., T. Ding, Y. Li, Y. Guo, Y. Li and T. Duan. 2020. Dual inoculation of alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.) with *Funnelliformis mosseae* and *Sinorhizobium medicae* can reduce Fusarium wilt. Journal of applied microbiology, 129(3): 665-679.
- Xian-can, Z., S. Feng-bin and X. Hong-wen. 2010. Effects of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on photosynthetic characteristics of maize under low temperature stress. Yingyong Shengtai Xuebao, 21(2).
- Yaqoob, F., M. Atiq, N.A. Rajput, A. Nawaz, M. Kashif, M.J. Matloob, A. Jabbar, W. Din, F. Ali and A. Ullah. 2024. Appraisement of chemotherapy, plant defense activators, and genetic resistance against eyespot disease in sugarcane. Plant protection, 08(02): 325-340
- Yildiz, A., 2010. A native Glomus sp. from fields in Aydın province and effects of native and commercial mycorrhizal fungi inoculants on the growth of some vegetables. Turkish Journal of Biology, 34(4): 447-452.

| Contribution of Authors: | | |
|---------------------------------|---|---|
| Fadia F. Hassan | : | Supervision, Conceptualization, Data collection, Methodology, Software , Validation |
| Mahdi S. Yasir | : | Writing- Reviewing and Editing. |
| Thamer A.A.Muhsen | : | Writing- Original draft preparation; Material preparation |