

Official publication of Pakistan Phytopathological Society

Pakistan Journal of Phytopathology

ISSN: 1019-763X (Print), 2305-0284 (Online) http://www.pakps.com



IMPACT OF FOLIAR APPLICATIONS OF DIFFERENT FUNGICIDES ON WHEAT STRIPE RUST EPIDEMICS AND GRAIN YIELD

^aYasir Ali^{*}, ^bTatheer Abbas, ^aHafiz M. Aatif, ^cSalman Ahmad, ^aAzhar A. Khan, ^aChaudhry M. S. Hanif

^a College of Agriculture, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan, Bahadur Sub-Campus, Layyah, Pakistan.

^b Department of Plant Pathology, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan, Pakistan.

^c Department of Plant Pathology, University College of Agriculture, University of Sargodha, Pakistan.

ABSTRACT

The stripe rust of wheat caused severe grain yield losses in Pakistan and all over the world. The present investigation involved two replicated experiments conducted in the research area of Bahuddin Zakriya University, Bahadur Sub campus Layyah during 2018-2019 and 2019 -2020 cropping seasons by following the Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD). In both experiments, four different fungicides viz., Metiram @ 625g/ha; Sulphur @ 2500g/ha; Propiconazole @ 625ml/ha and Difenoconazole @ 375g/ha were evaluated to determine their impact on stripe rust severity and grain yield. Data on disease severity was recorded by using the modified Cobb scale whereas; the number of grains/spike and thousand-grain weight data were recorded after harvesting the crop. All four applied fungicides reduced the stripe rust severity as compared to control. However, maximum disease was controlled by Difenoconazole during both rating seasons 2018-19 (22.2%) and 2019-2020 (21.1%) followed by Propiconazole (21.6% & 20.5%), Sulphur (20.7% & 19.9%) and Metiram (20.1% & 19.4%). Grain yield was significantly increased with the foliar application of fungicides during both successive years. Maximum number of grains/spike (47 & 46.1) and 1000-grain weight (39.98 & 38.97 g) were recorded in first and second crop seasons respectively, with the application of Difenaconazole followed by Propiconazole, Sulphar and Metiram as compared to the control respectively. The present investigation could play an important role in controlling stripe rust epidemics and to enhance grain yield in central Asian countries.

Keywords: Fungicides, grain yield, management, stripe rust, wheat.

INTRODUCTION

Wheat *(Triticum aestivum L.)* is a largely cultivated cereal crop all over the world after rice (27%) and maize (25%), it financially records 30% in the production of all cereal crops worldwide (Giraldo *et al.,* 2019). It is the main source of energy and protein for humans (Hazard *et al.,* 2020). Wheat is the most used food crop and source of nutrition for a country like Pakistan. All over the world nearly the total production of wheat is 600 million tons (MT) with a 2-5 million hectares area. The underdeveloped countries produce generally fifty

Submitted: April 04, 2022 Revised: June 05, 2022 Accepted for Publication: June 9, 2022 * Corresponding Author: Email: yasirklasra.uca@gmail.com © 2017 Pak. J. Phytopathol. All rights reserved. percent wheat all over the world (Hanson *et al.*, 2021). In Pakistan, it is cultivated on an area of 8.8 million hectares with annual production of 25.09 million tons (MT). Cool and temperate regions of the world, including Asia, Europe, the Middle East and Africa are most favourable to develop rust epidemics (Aboukhaddour *et al.*, 2020). The symptoms of wheat rust include the appearance of yellow uredia on the surface of the leaf in form of stripes or lines but rarely be seen on leaf sheath, stem and head (Ali *et al.*, 2020a).

Over the last 15 years in Central Asia, stripe rust of wheat has become a serious threat to grain production (Absattarova *et al.*, 2002; Sharma *et al.*, 2013). In Central and West Asia, stripe rust severity has increased from 2001 to 2010 as compared to the 1970-to-2010. In central Central Asi, the occurrence of four epidemics of stripe rust in the past six years 2009-2014, has

increased the severity of rust (Sharma et al., 2014).

The stripe rust epidemics has resulted severe yield losses in the Central Asia. Previous investigation showed 10-90% yield losses occur due to the stripe rust epidemics (Dzhunusova *et al.*, 2009; Hussain *et al.*, 2017). As the cultivation of susceptible wheat varieties having low resistance to stripe rust is the main cause of epidemics in these regions (Sharma et al. 2009), therefore various fungicides are widely used to control stripe rust, which is done without the knowing their capacity to control disease under field conditions (Atiq *et al.*, 2017; Razzaq *et al.*, 2018).

Currently, forty different products of fungicides have been documented for the management of stripe rust disease. Among these, most of the chemicals are in the quinone outside inhibitor (QoI; strobilurin) and demethylation inhibitors (DM1; triazole) class (Chen and Kang, 2017). Pyraclostrobin registered as Headline and Propiconazole registered as Tilt has been used for more than 20 years (Chen, 2014). Combine application of propiconazole and azoxystrobin has become the best choice for the growers to control stripe rust of wheat since chemicals consist of two modes of action by combining the DMI and QoI chemicals. Hence, the main objective of the present investigation was to evaluate the efficiency of different fungicides for controlling stripe rust epidemics and their impact on grain yield.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was conducted to determine the control. Table 1. Name of fungicides used for the management of stripe rust of wheat

efficiency of different foliar fungicides to control stripe rust of wheat in the field Area of B.Z.U. Bahadur Sub-Campus Layyah during the 2018-2019 and 2019-2020 cropping seasons. Two spreader rows of Morocco were sown around each side of the experimental material to prevent the fungicide drift. Four different fungicides (Table 1) registered for stripe rust were applied either in single applications at GS 16-19 (unfolded six to nine leaves) and (GS) 49-59 (first awns visible to emergence of spike completed) or in 2-3 applications at GS 16-19 and GS 49-59, respectively. Fungicides were applied using a knapsack sprayer at 160 kPa fitted with 3.5 psi cone nozzles and water volumes of approximately 300 L hac⁻¹ after the emergence of disease symptoms (Figure 1). The single variety SH-2 was cultivated each year on the 26th of November 2018-2019 and 2019 -2020 cropping seasons by following the Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replications (Figure 2). After 1st irrigation, the recommended dose of urea of 2.5 bags/ha was manually broadcasted and after 2nd irrigation, weeds were controlled by spraying suitable herbicides viz., imazamethabenz, fenoxaprop-Pethyl and mesosulfuron-methyl with the help of a knapsack sprayer with T-Jet/Flat Fan nozzle. The field was irrigated three times with 19-acre inches during the growing season. Before applying fungicides plots were set for each fungicide. Fungicides were sprayed when disease just appeared in the field compared to the control.

Table 11 Hande of fangienaes abea for the management of stripe rast of wheat							
Sr. No.	Treatments	Scientific name	Common name	Concentration	Company name		
1	T_1	Metiram	Polyram DF	@625g /ha	Swat agro chemical		
2	T_2	Sulphur	Sulphur	@2500g/ha	Evyol group		
3	T ₃	Propiconazole	Tilt	625ml/ha	Syngenta		
4	T_4	Difenoconazole	Score	375g/ha	Syngenta		
5	T ₅	Control	-	-	-		

Data recording: Disease assessment was recorded on both consecutive seasons (2018-2019 and 2019 -2020) at GS 49-59 and GS 75-77 (medium to late milky stage) by rating the disease severity (%) on flag leaves of each plot using the modified Cobb scale (Peterson *et al.*, 1948). To record the yield data, each plot was divided into different sections (3x2 m) and a wire frame was used for data recording by using the method proposed by Ali *et al.*, (2019a). The crop was harvested at maturity when its colour changed to yellow from green. Mini-thresher was used to harvest the crop and it was cleaned

manually. After cleaning, the parameters recorded were stripe rust disease control (%), the number of grains/spike and 1000 grain weight (g).

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Data recorded during the current research work was analyzed statistically by using the statistical software Minitab ver.20. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed to evaluate the effects of treatments. To test the effect of each fungicide, their means were compared by using the leaf significant difference test at a 5% level of significance (Steel *et al.*, 1997).



Figure 1. Foliar Applications of different fungicides with the help of knapsack sprayer to control stripe rust infection on SH-2 wheat variety

RESULTS

Effect of fungicides on disease control (%) of stripe **rust**: Application of all treatments showed statistically significant results to control stripe rust of wheat during both crop seasons 2018-2019 and 2019-2020. Among all fungicides, difenoconazole proved the most effective in controlling stripe rust epidemics. Difenoconazole controlled the disease by 22.2 % in the first crop



Figure 2. Cultivation of a wheat variety SH-2 in the Research Area of College of Agriculture, B.Z.U. Bahadur Sub-Campus Layyah

season 2018-2019 whereas, 21.6% in the second crop season 2019-2020. Similarly, propiconazole controlled the disease severity 21.6% in first crop season and 20.5% in second growing season. The sulphur controlled the disease severity by 20.7% in the first crop season and 19.9% in the second crop season followed by metiram as compared to the control as indicated in the Figure3.



Figure 3. Impact of different fungicides to control stripe rust (%) during crop growing years 1 (2018-2019) and 2 (2019-2020)

Efficacy of different fungicides on number of grains/spike against stripe rust: In both years (2018-2019 and 2019-2020), significant effect of all fungicides was recorded on the number of grains/spike. With the application of Difenoconazole maximum number of grains/spike (47 & 46.1) were recorded in the first and

second crop seasons respectively, over control. Similarly, maximum numbers of grains per spike were recorded by Propiconazole (45.2 & 44.3) during the 2018-2019 and 2019-2020 cropping seasons respectively, followed by Sulphur, Metiram as compared to the control (Figure 4).





Efficacy of different fungicides on 1000-grain weight against stripe rust: A significant impact of each fungicide was recorded on 1000-grain weight against stripe rust epidemics in each trial during both rating seasons. Among all fungicides, Difenaconazole proved the most effective in controlling stripe rust of wheat and increasing the 1000-grain weight. With the application

of Difenoconazole, maximum 1000-grain weights (39.98 & 38.97 g) were recorded in the first crop season 2018-2019 and second crop season 2019-2020, respectively. Similarly, Propiconazole increase the 1000 grain weight up to 38.37 g in the first crop season and 37.37g in the second growing season followed by Sulphur and Metiram as compared to the control (Figure 5).



Figure 5. Impact of different fungicides on 1000-grain weight against stripe rust epidemics during two crop seasons 1 (2018-2019) and 2 (2019-2020)

DISCUSSION

The application of all fungicides effectively controlled the stripe rust severity (%) under field conditions. However, a large proportion of grain yield reductions could be attributed to the decreases in thousand kernel weight (TKW). The magnitudes of thousand kernel weight and grain yield observed in the current investigation were similar to recent published research from the neighbouring state of South and West Asia (Al-Maaroof et al., 2014; Ali et al., 2019b; Karaman et al., 2014). The current study exhibited that difenoconazole significantly reduced disease severity and increased the grain yield and thousand grain weights as compared to other fungicides and control. All fungicides showed a great response in controlling wheat rust if they are applied before the disease infects upper leaves. In these results, Difenoconazole fungicide proved to be most significant for controlling wheat rust. Efficiency and the fungicide applied on time are the key to controlling wheat rust. Nevertheless, the use of fungicides is expensive which a problem is for poor farmers (Ali et al., 2021).

Recent studies indicated that the impact of foliar rusts on wheat quality and plant development depends on the level of genotype resistance, yield potential and onset of disease (Herrera-Foessel et al., 2006; Cruppe et al., 2021; Motta-Romero et al., 2021). Ash and Brown (1990) exhibited that an early outbreak of disease percentage had a larger effect on grain yield as compared to the late epidemics. Thousand-grain weight and total grain yield were most affected in the plots of susceptible genotype. Yield losses reduced in crops with a higher level of durable resistance at the adult plant stage (Yirga et al., 2021). According to Pathan and Park (2006) durable resistance in the genotypes is most effective reducing yield losses due to stripe rust and leaf rust infection. Genotypes with low levels of durable resistance experienced 15-25% yield losses as compared to 45-55% losses in susceptible genotypes. Early disease outbreaks, initiating before the onset of durable resistance at the adult plant stage, however, can produce significant yield losses in genotypes with resistant-to-moderate adult plant reactions and seedling susceptibility (Zhou et al., 2022). When yield losses are low or stripe rust epidemics appear late in season, grain yield losses in moderately susceptible genotypes would be expected to be small (Ash and Brown, 1990).

Foliar application of fungicides significantly reduced the wheat grain yield losses caused by stripe rust pathogen. However, the time of fungicide application and their interaction effect is significant in controlling disease severity. In the case of the SH-2 variety, the application of Difenoconazole and Propiconazole produced significantly higher grain yield over Metiram and Sulphur. Application of Difenoconazole produced the highest grain and thousand-grain weight which was significantly superior to the rest of the treatments. Similarly, the application of foliar Difenoconazole nearly had the same effect as other fungicides in increasing the grain yield of wheat; this difference was not statistically significant. However, there was a significant difference between unsprayed control and foliar application of Difenoconazole. Tilt has a systemic mode of action with protective and curative functions with more fungal growth inhibiting rather than fungicidal properties.

Most of the cultivated wheat varieties in central Asian countries are susceptible to stripe rust races (Sharma et al., 2013, Ali et al., 2020b) and the growers manage the disease severity primarily through foliar application of fungicides. Generally, 41% grain yield increases due to disease protection by fungicide application therefore the wheat growers can greatly benefits from crop protection measures. Furthermore, fungicide application increased the thousand-grain weight, number of grains/spike and also improves the quality of straw which is widely used as animal feed in developing countries (Javaid et al., 2018). Current study also clearly demonstrated a difference in yield increase with the foliar application of appropriate fungicides. The response of moderately susceptible variety SH-2 to fungicide application resulted in maximum increase in grain yield even at the hot spot of stripe rust.

CONCLUSION

Application of all fungicides proved most effective for controlling stripe rust severity and increasing grain yield. Difenoconazole significantly reduced stripe rust severity (22.2% & 21.1%) and increased the number of grains/spike (47 & 46.1) and 1000 grain-weight (39.98 & 38.97 g) compared to follow by Propiconazole, Sulphur and Metiram for two successive years 2018-2019 and 2019 -2020, respectively . Applications of these fungicides were recommended for wheat growers to be used for the management of disease severity and increasing grain yield in central Asian countries.

REFERENCES

- Aboukhaddour, R., T. Fetch, B. D. McCallum, M. W. Harding, B. L. Beres and R. J. Graf. 2020. Wheat diseases on the prairies: A Canadian story. Plant Pathology, 69(3): 418-432.
- Absattarova, A., S. Baboev, K. Bulatova, M. Karabayev, M. Koishibayev, A. Kokhmetova, V. Kuklacheva, A. Morgounov, S. Rsaliev, A. Sarbayev, R. A. Urazaliev, M. Yessimbekova and C. R. Wellings. 2002. Improvement of wheat yellow rust resistance in Kazakhstan and Uzbekistan through sub-regional co-operation. In: Johnson R, Yahyaoui A, Wellings C, Saidi A, Ketata H. (eds) Meeting the Challenge of Yellow Rust in Cereal Crops. ICARDA, Regional Rust Research Center, Izmir, Turkey, 34–41.
- Ali, Y., A. A. Khan, M. Ijaz, H. M. Aatif, A. Rahim, S. Ahmad and M. Rafiq. 2021. Chracterization of environmental conditions conducive for leaf rust and genetic diversity on wheat crosses based upon physiomorphic traits. Pakistan Journal of Phytopathology, 33(1): 125-136.
- Ali, Y., M. A. Khan, H. M. Aatif, M. Ijaz, M. Atiq, M. Bashair and M. Hussain. 2020b. Quantification of leaf rust resistance source in wheat germplasm in relation to epidemiological factors (Host Resistance: Fungi). Plant Protection, 38(4): 344-353.
- Ali, Y., M. A. Khan, M. Hussain, M. Atiq and J. N. Ahmad. 2019a. An assessment of the genetic diversity in selected wheat lines using molecular markers and PCA based cluster analysis. Applied Ecology and Environmental Research, 17(1): 931-950.
- Ali, Y., M. A. Khan, M. Hussain, M. Atiq and J. N. Ahmad. 2019b. Achieving near immunity durable-type resistance against rusts in advance wheat lines by combining race non-specific resistance genes. Internal Journal of Agriculture and Biology, 21: 251-258.
- Ali, Y., M. A. Khan, M. Hussain, W. Sabir, M. Atiq, H. M. Aatif and J. N. Ahmad. 2020a. Virulence analysis of leaf and stripe rust populations in Pakistan through avirulence to virulence formula. Archives of Phytopathology and Plant Protection, 53(17-18): 844-855.
- Al-Maaroof, E. M., A. Nori and A. Naser. 2014. Epidemics and yellow rust reactions of wheat genotypes. In: Abstracts, Second International Wheat Stripe Rust Symposium. ICARDA, Regional Rust Research

Center, Izmir, Turkey, 15-25.

- Ash, G. J. and J. F. Brown. 1990. Yield losses in wheat caused by stripe rust (*Puccinia striiformis* West.) in northern New South Wales. Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture, 30(1): 103-108.
- Atiq, M., N. Javed, S. Urooj, A. A. Bukhari, Y. Ali, A. Zeeshan,
 A. Shahid, S. Ali, A. Jabbar and W. U. Din. 2017.
 Management of leaf rust of wheat through different levels of NPK and sowing times. Advances in Zoology and Botany, 5(4): 39-44.
- Chen, X. M. 2014. Integration of cultivar resistance and fungicide application for control of wheat stripe rust. Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology, 36(3): 311-326.
- Chen, X. and Z. Kang. 2017. Introduction: history of research, symptoms, taxonomy of the pathogen, host range, distribution, and impact of stripe rust. In Stripe rust (pp. 1-33). Springer, Dordrecht.
- Cruppe, G., E. DeWolf, B.R. Jaenisch , K.A. Onofre, B. Valent, A.K. Fritz and R.P. Lollato. 2021. Experimental and producer-reported data quantify the value of foliar fungicide to winter wheat and its dependency on genotype and environment in the US central Great Plains. Field Crops Research, 273: 108300.
- Dzhunusova, M., A. Yahyaoui, A. I. Morgounov and J. Egemberdieva. 2009. Breeding for resistance to rust diseases of wheat in Kyrgyzstan. In: Abstracts, 4th Regional Yellow Rust Conference for Central and West Asia and North Africa.
- Giraldo, P., E. Benavente, F. Manzano-Agugliaro and E. Gimenez. 2019. Worldwide research trends on wheat and barley: A bibliometric comparative analysis. Agronomy, 9(7): 352-358.
- Hanson, H., N. E. Borlaug and R. G. Anderson. 2021. Wheat in the third world. Routledge, New York, USA.
- Hazard, B., K. Trafford, A. Lovegrove, S. Griffiths, C. Uauy and P. Shewry. 2020. Strategies to improve wheat for human health. Nature Food, 1(8): 475-480.
- Herrera-Foessel, S.A., R. P. Singh, J. Huerta-Espino, J. Crossa, J. Yuen and A. Djurle. 2006. Effect of leaf rust on grain yield and yield traits of durum wheats with race-specific and slow-rusting resistance to leaf rust. Plant Disease, 90(8): 1065-1072.
- Hussain, M., M. A. Khan, Y. Ali, M. M. Javaid, B. Iqbal, M. Nasir, W. Sabir, F. Muhammad. 2017. Wheat

Breeding for Durable Rust Resistance and High Yield Potential in Historical Prospective and Current Status. Advances in Zoology and Botany, 5(4): 55-63.

- Javaid, M. M, M. Zulkiffal, Y. Ali, A. Mehmood, J. Ahmed, M. Hussain, F. Muhammad, W. Sabir, M. H. Tanveer and O. Yasin. 2018. Impact of Environmental and Pathogenic Variability on Breaking of Host Rust Resistance in Wheat Cultivars under Changing Climatic Conditions. Advances in Zoology and Botany, 6(1): 31-40.
- Karaman, M., H. Aktaş, I. Erdemci, E. Kendal and S. Tekdal. 2014. Yellow rust reactions of some bread wheat genotypes. In: Abstracts, Second International Wheat Stripe Rust Symposium. ICARDA, Regional Rust Research Center, Izmir, Turkey, pp 41.
- Motta-Romero, H., F. Niyongira, J.D. Boehm and D.J. Rose. 2021. Effects of foliar fungicide on yield, micronutrients, and cadmium in grains from historical and modern hard winter wheat genotypes. PloS one, 16(3): e0247809.
- Pathan, A.K. and R.F. Park. 2006. Evaluation of seedling and adult plant resistance to leaf rust in European wheat cultivars. Euphytica, 149(3): 327-342.
- Peterson, R.F., A.B. Campbell and A.E. Hannah. 1948. A diagrammatic scale for estimating rust severity on leaves and stems of cereals. Canadian Journal of Genetics and Cytology, 26: 496-500.
- Razzaq, k., A. Rehman, M. W. Alam, S. Mehboob, R. Anjum,F. Ahmad, S. Hanif, Y. Ali, Z. Ali, O. Yasin. 2018.Genetic diversity of wheat hybrid lines against leafrust of wheat in relation to epidemiological factors.International Journal of Biosciences, 13: 18-27.

- Sharma, R. C. O., A. Amanov, Z. Khalikulov, C. Martius, Z. Ziyaev and S. Alikulov. 2009. Wheat yellow rust epidemics in Uzbekistan in 2009. In: Abstracts, 4th Regional Yellow Rust Conference for Central and West Asia and North Africa. ICARDA, Aleppo, Syria, pp 8.
- Sharma, R. C., A. Amanov, Z. Ziyadullaev, S. Saidov, M. Ahmedov, I. D. Bedoshvili, A. Kokhmetova, M. Keser, A. Morgounov, K. Nazari, S. Rajaram and M. Baum. 2014. Status of stripe rust resistant winter wheat varieties in Central Asia and the Caucasus. In: Abstracts, Second International Wheat Stripe Rust Symposium. ICARDA, Regional Rust Research Center, Izmir, Turkey, pp 73.
- Sharma, R. C., S. Rajaram, S. Alikulov, Z. Ziyaev, S. Hazratkulova, M. Khodarahami, M. Nazeri, S. Belen, Z. Khalikulov, M. Mosaad, Y. Kaya, M. Keser, Z. Eshonova, A. Kokhmetova, M. G. Ahmadov, K. M. R. Jalal and A. Morgounov. 2013. Improved winter wheat germplasm for Central and West Asia. Euphytica, 190: 19-31.
- Steel, R. D. G., J. H. Torrie and D. A. Dickey. 1997. Principles and procedures of statistics. A biometrical approach. 3rd Ed. McGraw Hill book Co. Inc. New York. 400-408.
- Yirga, F., A. Badebo, M. Dejene, I.L.R.I. CMMIYT-Ethiopia,
 E. Addis Ababa and E. Dire Dawa. 2021. Seedling and Adult Plant Resistance in the Ethiopian Bread Wheat Landraces to Stripe Rust Disease. Environment and Pollution, 10(1): 1-12.
- Zhou, X., T. Fang, K. Li, K. Huang, C. Ma, M. Zhang and P. Zhang. 2022. Yield losses associated with different levels of stripe rust resistance of commercial wheat cultivars in China. Phytopathology, 112(6): 1244-1254.

Contribution of Authors:				
Yasir Ali	:	Designed the experiment and wrote the manuscript		
Tatheer Abbas	:	Performed the experiments		
Hafiz M. Aatif	:	Edit the manuscript		
Salman Ahmad	:	Review the manuscript		
Azhar A. Khan	:	Analyzed the data		
Ch. M. S. Hanif	:	Prepared figures and help in performing experiment		